2013 Vol. 15, No. 15 4018–4021

Asymmetric Total Synthesis of (+)-Fusarisetin A via the Intramolecular Pauson—Khand Reaction

Jun Huang,^{†,§} Lichao Fang,^{†,§} Rong Long,[†] Li-Li Shi,[†] Hong-Juan Shen,[†] Chuang-chuang Li.*,[†] and Zhen Yang*,^{†,‡}

Laboratory of Chemical Genomics, School of Chemical Biology and Biotechnology, Peking University Shenzhen Graduate School, Shenzhen 518055, China, and Key Laboratory of Bioorganic Chemistry and Molecular Engineering of Ministry of Education and Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Science (BNLMS), and Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

licc@pkusz.edu.cn; zyang@pku.edu.cn

Received July 1, 2013

ABSTRACT

An asymmetric total synthesis of (+)-fusarisetin A has been achieved. The essential to our strategy was the application of the intramolecular Pauson—Khand reaction for the stereoselective construction of the *trans*-decalin subunit of (+)-fusarisetin A with a unique C16 quarternary chiral center. The developed chemistry offers an alternative to the IMDA reaction that has been used for fusarisetin A, and is applicable to analogue synthesis for biological evaluation.

(+)-Fusarisetin A (1, Figure 1)¹ represents an emerging class of anticancer agents structurally related to the members of the cytochalasin family of fungal metabolites.² This molecule has elicited considerable levels of biological interest because it exerts a potent inhibitory effect on metastasis in the MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells, as well as inhibiting acinar morphogenesis (77 μ M), cell migration (7.7 μ M), and cell invasion (26 μ M) in the same cell line without any significant cytotoxicity.² The structure of 1 was estblished by NMR and X-ray diffraction analyses, ¹ as well as its total synthesis.³

Structurally, 1 is composed of 10 stereocenters and an unprecedented carbon skeleton consisting of a pentacyclic ring system comprising decalin (6/6) and tricyclic (5/5/5)




Figure 1. Structure of (+)-fusarisetin A (1).

moieties that are both decorated with a variety of different functionalities. The novel structural features of these fungal metabolites have led to the deployment of considerable synthetic efforts that have culminated in several successful total syntheses of 1 by the groups of Li in 2011³ and Theodorakis⁴ and Gao⁵ in 2012.

[†] Peking University Shenzhen Graduate School.

[‡] Peking University.

[§] These authors contributed equally.

⁽¹⁾ Jang, J.-H.; Asami, Y.; Jiang, J.-P.; Kim, S.-O.; Shin, S.-S.; Hashizume, D.; Muroi, M.; Saito, T.; Oh, H.; Kim, B. Y.; Osada, H.; Ahn, J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 6865.

⁽²⁾ Li, J. Y.; Strobel, G. A.; Harper, J. K.; Lobkovsky, E.; Clardy, J. Org. Lett. **2000**, *2*, 767.

⁽³⁾ Deng, J.; Zhu, B.; Lu, Z.-Y.; Yu, H.-X.; Li, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 920.

^{(4) (}a) Xu, J.; Caro-Diaz, J. E.; Trzoss, L.; Theodorakis, E. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 5072. (b) Xu, J.; Caro-Diaz, J. E.; Lacoske, M. H.; Hung, C.-I.; Jamora, C.; Theodorakis, E. A. *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 3378.

During the course of the past two decades, the Pauson–Khand (PK) reaction⁶ has emerged as a powerful method for the synthesis of cyclopentenones,⁷ and the reaction has been successfully applied to the total syntheses of complex natural products.⁸ Herein, we report our recent accomplishment of the total synthesis of (+)-fusarisetin A by using the PK reaction as a key step.

Retrosynthetically, (+)-fusarisetin A (1) could be made from amide 4 via the Dieckmann-type cyclization followed by hemiacetalization via the published protocol (Figure 2).³ Amide 4 could in turn be generated via the aminolysis of the β -keto ester 5 with aminoester 6.




Figure 2. Retrosynthetic analysis of (+)-fusarisetin A (1).

It was envisaged that the ester 5 could be prepared from the epoxide ester 7 via a reductive epoxide-ring-opening reaction⁹ followed by the oxidation of the resulting β -hydroxy ester. The epoxide ester 7 could be prepared by the epoxidation of 8. Given that the Pd-catalyzed carbonylation of enol triflates has been reported as an effective method for the conversion of ketones to its corresponding α,β -unsaturated esters, ¹⁰ this approach was used for the construction of the α,β -unsaturated ester in 8 in a regiocontrolled manner from ketone 9, which was itself derived from 10 via a stereoselective 1,4-reduction. It was also expected that enyne 11, which already included three necessary chiral centers (C₁₀, C₁₂, and C₁₅), could be stereoselectively transformed into 10 via a transition metal catalyzed PK reaction. The precursor enyne 11 could be constructed from epoxide 12, ¹¹ which could itself be prepared by the known precudure. ¹²

Our synthesis started with the synthesis of enone **10**. In the event, addition of chloromethylithium, generated from chloroiodomethane and n-BuLi, to aldehyde **13**¹¹ at -78 °C gave epoxide **12** in 72% yield, ¹² together with its diastereoisomer **12a** in 12% yield (Scheme 1). Further reaction of epoxide **12** with lithium acetylide **14**¹³ at -78 °C in THF in the presence of BF₃·Et₂O gave the requisite enyne **11** in 60% yield.

Our attention then shifted to the intramolecular PK reaction of enyne 11. To establish the optimal reaction conditions, the effects of a variety of different parameters (e.g., metal catalyst, additive, solvent, and temperature) on the outcome of the reaction were investigated. Several different transition metal complexes were tested, including PdCl₂ in the presence of tetramethyl thiourea (TMTU)¹⁴ and Co₂(CO)₈ under a variety of different conditions in the presence of several different additives, including molecular sieves, ¹⁵ Me₂S, ¹⁶ water, ¹⁷ ethane-1,2-diol, ¹⁸ *N*-methylmorpholine-*N*-oxide (NMO), ¹⁹ trimethylamine-*N*-oxide (TMANO),²⁰ and TMTU.¹⁴ The results indicated that the Co₂(CO)₈-mediated PK reaction was the most efficient method of those tested for the stereoselective construction of the quaternary stereogenic center at the C₁₆ position of cyclopentenone 10. Of the different solvents tested, including THF, benzene, toluene, and CH₃CN, toluene was found to provide the best results. The temperature for the reaction was evaluated at temperatures ranging from 70 to 130 °C, with 120 °C giving the best result. Taken together, an 82% yield

Org. Lett., Vol. 15, No. 15, 2013

⁽⁵⁾ Yin, J.; Wang, C.; Kong, L.-L.; Cai, S.-J.; Gao, S.-H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 7786.

^{(6) (}a) Khand, I. U.; Knox, G. R.; Pauson, P. L.; Watts, W. E. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1973, 977. (b) Khand, I. U.; Knox, G. R.; Pauson, P. L.; Watts, W. E. J. Chem. Soc. D.: Chem. Commun. 1971, 36a.

⁽⁷⁾ Illustrative reviews on the Pauson–Khand reaction: (a) Pauson, P. L. *Tetrahedron* **1985**, *41*, 5855. (b) Schore, N. E. *Org. React.* **1991**, *40*, 1. (c) Schore, N. E. *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II*; Abel, E. W., Stone, F. G. A., Wilkinson, G., Eds.; Elsevier: New York, 1995; Vol. 12, p 703. (d) Jeong, N. *Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis*; Beller, M., Bolm, C., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1998; p 560.

^{(8) (}a) Turlington, M.; Du, Y.; Ostrum, S. G.; Santosh, V.; Wren, K.; Lin, T.; Sabat, M.; Pu, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 11780. (b) Hayashi, Y.; Inagaki, F.; Mukai, C. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 1778. (c) Darses, B.; Michaelides, I. N.; Sladojevich, F.; Ward, J. W.; Rzepa, P. R.; Dixon, D. J. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 1684. (d) Liu, Q.; Yue, G.; Wu, N.; Lin, G.; Li, Y.; Quan, J.; Li, C.-C.; Wang, G.; Yang, Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12072

^{(9) (}a) Molander, G. A.; Shakya, S. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 5885. (b) Molander, G. A.; del Pozo Losada, C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 2935.

^{(10) (}a) Murai, A.; Tanimoto, N.; Sakamoto, N.; Masamune, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 1985. (b) Cacchi, S.; Morera, E.; Orter, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *26*, 1109.

⁽¹¹⁾ Corey, E. J.; Staas, D. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 3526.

⁽¹²⁾ Sadhu, K. M.; Matteson, D. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 795.

^{(13) (}a) Cotterill, A. S.; Gill, M.; Gimenez, A.; Milanovic, N. M. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I* **1994**, 3269. (b) Brimble, M. A.; Bryant, C. J. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2007**, *5*, 2858.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Tang, Y. F.; Deng, L. J.; Zhang, Y. D.; Dong, G. B.; Chen, J.; Yang, Z. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 1657.

^{(15) (}a) Perez-Serrano, L.; Casarrubios, L.; Dominguez, G.; Perez-Castells, J. *Org. Lett.* **1999**, *1*, 1187. (b) Blanco-Urgoiti, J.; Dominguez, G.; Perez-Castells, J. *Synthesis* **2004**, *3*, 182.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Sugihara, T.; Yamada, M.; Yamaguchi, M.; Nishizawa, M. Svnlett 1999, 771.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Sugihara, T.; Yamaguci, M. Synlett 1998, 1384.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Su, S.; Rodriguez, R. A.; Baran, P. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133 13922

^{(19) (}a) Shambayati, S.; Crowe, W. E.; Schreiber, S. L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 5289. (b) Jeong, N.; Chung, Y. K.; Lee, B. Y.; Lee, S. H.; Yoo, S. E.; Sunghee, H. *Synlett* **1991**, 204.

⁽²⁰⁾ Blanco-Urgoiti, J.; Casarrubios, L.; Perez-Castells, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 2817.

of product 10 with the desired quaternary chiral center was remarkably obtained when a stoichiometric amount of $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ was used at a reaction temperature of 120 °C under argon.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Cyclopentanaphthalenone 10

We then investigated the stereoselective reduction of enone 10 via hydrogenation. Initially, various conditions were attempted; however, no hydrogenation was observed, presumably because of the steric hindrance of the TBS group. We then decided to remove this silyl group. In the event, the hydroxyl group in 10 was first protected as its methoxy methyl ether (MOM), and the resultant MOM ether was then subjected to desilylaytion with HF·pyridine to afford product 15 (Scheme 2). Thus, under the hydrogenation conditions with Pd/C as a catalyst, 16 was obtained in 89% yield as a single isomer. However, the stereochemistries at the C6- and C7-positions in 16 were the opposite of what we expected. The stereochemistry of 16 was established via a 2D-NMR study (see Supporting Information for details).

Scheme 2. Synthesis of Compound 16

As a working hypothesis, it was assumed that an intramolecular H-bonding existed between the C5 hydroxyl and C1 carbonyl groups (see 3D structure of **15a** in Scheme 2), which regulated the C5 methyl group down and could lead the catalyst to approach the double bond from the top face, resulting in the formation of product **16** as the sole product.

The *trans*-configuration²¹ across the two newly generated chiral centers at C6 anf C7 suggested that the ketone-mediated epimerization²² occurred during the reaction.

To generate the desired stereochemistry at the C7 position, the decision was taken to use mesylate as the protecting group in consideration of the tendency of mesylate²³ to form a H-bonding network with ethanol (solvent) and the C5 hydroxyl group. As a result, **16** would likely adopt its conformation as **17a** (see its 3D structure in Scheme 4). Thus, the C5 methyl group would block the catalyst to access the C6, C7 double bond from the top face, leading to the formation of **16** predominately.

To this end, **10** was reacted with MsCl in the presence of $Et_3N/DMAP$, followed by desilylation to afford **17** in 68% yield over the two steps (Scheme 3). Thus, hydrogenation of **17** under the same conditions as used before (i.e., Pd–C, EtOH, Et_3N , and H_2 under balloon pressure) effectively saturated the double bond and afforded **18** in 70% yield as the major product. The structure of **18** was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Compound 20

To continue our planned strategy toward the total synthesis of the target molecule, it was necessary to prepare the α,β -unsaturated ester 20 via the Pd-catalyzed esterification

Org. Lett., Vol. 15, No. 15, 2013

^{(21) (}a) Shizaki, M.; Niimi, Y.; Hoshino, O. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2003**, *44*, 6029. (b) Ishizaki, M.; Niimi, Y.; Hoshino, O.; Hara, H.; Takahashi, T. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 4053.


process from the precursor enol triflate **19** (Scheme 3). To this end, the hydroxyl group in ketone **18** was first protected as the corresponding TBS ether, and the resulting ketone was then reacted with Comins' reagent²⁴ in the presence of KHMDS as a base to give enol triflate **19** in 95% yield. Triflate **19** was subsequently subjected to a Pd-catalyzed carbonylative esterification reaction²⁵ to give **20** in 65% yield. This sequence conveniently established the $\alpha.\beta$ -unsaturated ester functionality necessary for the epoxidation to **21**. It is worthwhile to mention that the major side product observed in this reaction occurred as a consequence of reductive demesylation.

We then proceeded to synthesize the key intermediate amide **4** (Scheme 4). Although it was anticipated that the α,β-unsaturated ester in **20** would provide facile access to the corresponding epoxide in **21**, the treatment of substrate **21** with a variety of different oxidative agents, such as *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP)/1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU), ²⁶ *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid (*m*-CPBA), ²⁷ and H₂O₂/NaOH, ²⁸ did not result in the formation of the desired product.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of Compound 4

It was later established that epoxide **21** could be synthesized in a 75% yield as a single diastereoisomer by reaction of **20** with *m*-CPBA in the presence of NaHCO₃, presumably because NaHCO₃ could scavenge the generated chlorobenzoic acid, which might cause the formed product **21** decomposition.

Scheme 5. Completion of the Total Synthesis



No efforts were made at this stage to assign the stereochemistry at the newly formed epoxide centers because the stereochemistry at these positions would be eliminated in the subsequent steps. Thus, epoxide **21** was demesylated by treatment with DBU in refluxing toluene, followed by reductive epoxide opening with SmI₂, and the newly generated hydroxyl group was oxidized with DMP to give the corresponding β -keto ester **5** in 37% yield for three steps. Thus, aminolysis proceeded smoothly when the β -keto ester **5** was reacted with the aminoester **6**, and amide **4** was formed in 73% yield.

To complete the total synthesis, amide **4** was first treated with HF to remove the TBS groups, and the resulting ester was then treated with NaOMe in MeOH to undergo the planned transannulation process, and (+)-fusarisetin A (1) and (+)-C3-*epi*-fusarisetin A (22) were formed in a ratio of 6/4 in a combined yield of 73% (Scheme 5). The spectroscopic data (¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, HRMS analyses, and optical rotation) of the synthesized sample (1) were fully consistent with the corresponding data for the naturally occurring fusarisetin A. ¹ The structure of (+)-C3-*epi*-fusarisetin A (25) was deduced by the analysis of its NMR spectra, as well as its epimerization tendency of the C3 position during the base-mediated Dieckmann cyclization.

In conclusion, we have developed a concise synthetic strategy for the total synthesis of (+)-fusarisetin A (1) in 16 steps from the commercially available aldehyde 13. Essential to our strategy was the application of the intramolecular PK reaction for the stereoselective construction of the cyclopentenone 10 with a unique C16 quaternary chiral center. The chemistry offers an alternative to the IMDA reaction that has been used for fusarisetin A and is applicable to analogue synthesis for biological evaluation.

Acknowledgment. Financial support from the National Science Foundation of China (91013004, 21072006, and 21072011) and National 863 Program (2013AA090203) is acknowledged.

Supporting Information Available. Experimental procedure and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

Org. Lett., Vol. 15, No. 15, 2013

^{(22) (}a) Kagan, H. B. *Tetrahedron* **2003**, *59*, 10351. (b) Boyce, C. B. C.; Whitehurst, J. S. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1960**, 4547. (c) Baggaley, K. H.; Brooks, S. G.; Green, J.; Redman, B. T. *J. Chem. Soc.* **C 1971**, 2671.

^{(23) (}a) Hunter, C. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 5310. (b) Bissantz, C.; Kuhn, B.; Stahl, M. *J. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *53*, 5061.

⁽²⁴⁾ Comins, D.; Dehghani, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 6299.

^{(25) (}a) Xu, J.; Trzoss, L.; Chang, W. K.; Theodorakis, E. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 3672. (b) Nicolaou, K. C.; Ding, H.; Richard, J.-A.; Chen, D. Y.-K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 3815.

^{(26) (}a) Krishna, P. R.; Reddy, P. S. J. Comb. Chem. **2008**, 10, 426. (b) Fu, R.; Ye, J.-L.; Dai, X.-J.; Ruan, Y.-P.; Huang, P.-Q. J. Org. Chem. **2010**, 75, 4230.

^{(27) (}a) Rodilla, J. M. L.; Diez, D.; Urones, J. G.; Rocha, P. M. *Molecules* **2004**, *9*, 300. (b) Ruano, J. L. G.; Fajardo, C.; Fraile, A.; Martín, M. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 4300.

^{(28) (}a) Borrelly, S.; Paquette, L. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 727. (b) Yu, B.; Jiang, T.; Quan, W.; Li, J.; Pan, X.; She, X. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 629.

The authors declare no competing financial interest.